**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
**CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL**

Case No. SACV 15-01364-CJC(AGRx) Date: October 29, 2015

Title: BARBER ET AL. V. NESTLÉ USA, INC. ET AL.

---

PRESENT:

**HONORABLE CORMAC J. CARNEY, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

Melissa Kunig
Deputy Clerk

N/A
Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFF: ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANT:

None Present None Present

**PROCEEDINGS: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO TRANSFER CASE** [filed 10/5/15]

Having considered the papers presented by the parties, the Court finds this matter appropriate for disposition without a hearing. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 78; Local Rule 7-15. Accordingly, the hearing set for November 2, 2015 at 1:30 p.m. is hereby vacated and off calendar.

Barber has moved to transfer the instant case to Judge Carter, who is hearing a later-filed case, *Wirth v. Mars*, 15-cv-1470, that Barber asserts is related. Barber had earlier sought to transfer *Wirth* to this Court, but this Court declined to accept it because it has a financial interest in a party to that case. After this Court declined the transfer of *Wirth*, Plaintiffs' counsel filed two cases related to the instant case into this Court: *De Rosa v. Tri-Union Seafoods*, and *Hughes v. Big Heart Pet Brands*. Plaintiffs' counsel indicates that should this Court grant the motion to transfer the instant case to Judge Carter, they will move to transfer *De Rosa* and *Hughes* as well. The Court concludes that the potential savings in labor and expense gained by having all four cases heard by the same court does not warrant transferring three of those cases from this Court to another court. Accordingly, Plaintiffs' motion to transfer case is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

bh